EPID 765 Pharmacoepidemiology

Potentially Inappropriate Prescribing (off-label drug use)

© 2019 by Til Stürmer. All rights reserved.

1

Potentially Inappropriate Prescribing

- Beers criteria for potentially inappropriate medication (PIM) use in the elderly (Archives 1991, 1997, JAGS 2012)
 - Drugs
 - Dose
 - Drugs in combination with medical conditions
- START/STOP criteria (Int J Pharmacol Ther 2008)
 Note: added notion of under-prescribing
 - Potential medication omissions (PMO)
- Both PIM and PMO are potentially inappropriate prescribing (PIP)!

2

Potentially Inappropriate Prescribing

- Data:
 - GPs
 - Hospitals
 - Nursing homes (MDS)
 - Population based (Part D)
- "Potentially" allows for leeway (individual)
- Still relevant at population level, even if not inappropriate for each individual
- Quality of care measure
- 3

What is Inappropriate?

- Contraindications (& START: indications)
- · Pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamic interactions
- Main kinetic parameter: kidney function
 Cave: Age related decline not detected by serum Cr!
- Important for drugs mainly cleared by kidney (Dettli LC. Drug dosage in patients with renal disease. Clin Pharmacol Ther 1974;16:274-80)
- Estimate kidney function from serum creatinine
 Cockroft-Gault: [140 age(yr)] x weight(kg) / [72 x SCr(mg/dL)] x 0.85 (if female)
 - MDRD: 186.3 x (SCr)^{-1.154} x (age(yr))^{-0.203} x 1.212 (if black) x 0.742 (if female)

4



PIP in Medicare

- Medicare enrollees ≥65 years of age.
- Point prevalence of PIM defined by STOPP
- Within each calendar month
- Generalized estimating equations (GEE) to account for the dependence of multiple monthly observations of a single person
- One record per enrollee each month.
- Conditions and diagnoses identified using ICD9 codes, Medicare Part A&B previous 12 months.
- Drugs and combinations identified using ATC
- Daily dose calculated strength & days supply

Table 2. Overall PIM p 2011	revalence an	d 95%	Confidence Interv	als (CI) among US	older population b	etween 2007 to
Prevalence of PIM 2007 % (95% C		a)	2008 % (95% Cl)	2009 % (95% CI)	2010 % (95% Cl)	2011 % (95% CI)
Point Prevalence	19.2 (18.8-19.7)		19.2 (18.7-19.6)	18.9 (18.5-19.4)	19.2 (18.8-19.7)	18.7 (18.2-19
Table 3. Distribution of	of PIM by STO	PP Grou	uping			
System or condition			Group of Drugs or Drugs implicated			
Drugs that adversely affect falls 20		20.7	BZD, neuroleptics, first generation antihistamines, vasodilators, long term opiates			
Musculoskeletal system		19.3	NSAIDs, warfarin, long term corticosteroids, colchicine			
Cardiovascular system		18.8	Digoxin dose >0.125 mg/d, loop diuretics, thiazide diuretic, betablockers, diltiazem, verapamil, calcium channel blockers, aspirir warfarin, dipyridamole, clopidogrel			
Urogenital		16.4	Antimuscarinic drugs, alpha blockers			
CNS		12.7	TCA, long term long acting BZD, long term neuroleptics, long term hypnotics, phenothiazines, anticholinergics, SSRIs, prolonged use of first-generation antihistamines			
Other		12.1	Diphenoxylate, loperamide, codeine, prochlorperazine, PPI, anticholinergic antispasmodic drugs. Thephylline, systemic corticosteroids, ipratropium. Glibenclamide, chlorpropamide, beta blockers, estrogens.			

PIP in Medicare: PIM Table 4. Factors associated with PIM reference 1.01 (0.96-1.07) 1.19 (1.12-1.28) 1.44 (1.35-1.54) 1.58 (1.48-1.68) 65-69 0.96 (0.91-1.02) 70-74 1.04 (0.97-1.10) 1.13 (1.06-1.21) 1.08 (1.02-1.16) 75-79 80-84 Age Group (years) 85+ No reference 0.99 (0.87-1.12) Any Outpatient Office Visit * 1.13 (1.03-1.24) No reference 2.79 (2.70-2.88) Any Emergency Visit * 1.53 (1.48-1.59) Yes No Any Hospitalization * 3.18 (3.08-3.28) 1.15 (1.01-1.31) Yes * During the previous 12 n Crude

8



9





10



Conclusions PPO in Medicare

- Prevalence ranges between 6% and 90%
- PPO not a good term given that we are looking at dispensed prescriptions (vs. prescribed)
- · Interesting, often neglected aspect of PIP
- Predictors of PPO
 - Dependent on condition
 - Difficult to summarize in single manuscript
 - Probably best done condition specific

13

Off-Label Drug Use

- Use of drugs for
 - Unapproved indications
 - Unapproved subpopulations
- · May originate from
 - Presumed drug class effect
 - Extension to milder forms
 - Extensions to related conditions
 - (organ, symptoms, pathophysiology)
- Spectrum:
 - Guideline recommended
 - Plausible
 - Last resort (finally: crazy)

14

Off-Label Drug Use

- Common (antipsychotics, antidepressants, epo)
- Often not supported by strong data
- Physician free to prescribe off-label
- Potential advantages:
 - Last resort
 - Earlier access
 - Orphan conditions
- · Potential disadvantages:
 - Efficacy and safety (benefit to harm) not evaluated
 - Expensive (often newer, expensive drugs)

15

Off-Label Drug Use

- Supplemental NDA to add indication to label
 Risky
 - Generics
- FDA policy currently prohibits the direct promotion of products for unapproved uses
- · Areas of ambiguity
 - Sponsoring of CME
 - Distribute journal articles about off-label use

16

Pharmacoepidemiology of Off-Label Drug Use

- Important public health issue
- Easy to study prevalence in claims databases
- Provide first/only evidence on benefit to harm balance
- · Influence payors' decisions
 - E.g., France: off-label use tolerated but not reimbursed by universal health insurance